

Perceptions Towards Using Telemedicine Services: A Cross-Sectional Study in Saudi Arabia

Dalia Alomair ¹, Abeer Alharbi ^{1,*}

¹ Health Administration Department, Business Administration School, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.

Abstract

Background: This study set out to assess the population perceptions towards using telemedicine in KSA in terms of privacy and security when discussing sensitive and personal information, diagnosis, treatment, and follow-ups as well as how the use of this service was affected by certain demographic factors and the patients' physical health condition.

Methods: A cross-sectional study was designed using an online questionnaire containing statements measuring the participants' perceptions towards telemedicine. The participants were asked to indicate how comfortable they were when using telemedicine on a 4-point Likert scale starting from 'extremely uncomfortable' = 1 to 'extremely comfortable' = 4. A convenience sampling was used. The data were analyzed using descriptive and logistic regression to compare groups and to make inferences about the population.

Results: A total of 400 individuals completed the questionnaire. The majority of respondents (64%) had positive perceptions towards using telemedicine. The highest ranked statement was "Undergoing an initial clinic visit with a provider in the presence of my established physician" with a mean score of 3.66 out of 4 (0.664), followed by "Completing post-operative follow-up" with a mean score of 3.5 out of 4 (0.769). The logistic regression analysis showed that the participants who reported having better physical condition were more likely to be comfortable with using telemedicine (P-value < 0.05). However, other variables such as age, gender, nationality, occupation, level of education, transportation method, chronic disease, and type of chronic disease did not have a significant impact on the participants' likelihood to be comfortable with using telemedicine.

Conclusion: This study provided evidence that the majority of the citizens in KSA had positive perceptions using telemedicine and that their physical health condition appeared to influence the participants' comfort using telemedicine as they were the ones more likely to be comfortable using the virtual clinics. On the other hand, demographic variables did not seem to influence their level of comfort using telemedicine. Further studies should investigate the uses of telemedicine among patients with acute and chronic diseases/conditions as well as to explore barriers to and motivators for using this approach to medical services.

Keywords: telemedicine; telehealth; mHealth; e-Health; e-consultations; health care delivery; comfort; satisfaction; privacy; patients; Saudi Arabia

*Abeer Alharbi- Health Administration Department, Business Administration School, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia-Email: Daliaalomair@gmail.com.

1. Introduction

Healthcare systems around the world are evolving rapidly as they move towards the adoption of advanced technologies to improve the quality and accessibility of healthcare services [1–11]. In recent years, telemedicine has become increasingly recognized for its role in this especially for those living in remote or underserved areas [12–15]. The World Health Organization defines telemedicine as “the delivery of health care services, where distance is a critical factor, by all health care professionals using information and communication technologies for the exchange of valid information for diagnosis, treatment and prevention of disease and injuries, research and evaluation, and for the continuing education of health care providers, all in the interest of advancing the health of individuals and their communities” [16]. Globally, the benefits of virtual consultations in terms of enhancing access to care have been well documented [17–25]. Several health systems around the world have started providing healthcare directly to patients through virtual clinics, such as, American Well, Teladoc, and Doctor on Demand in the USA, and GP at Hand in the U.K. A virtual clinic, sometimes called electronic consultations, or e-consultations, is a communication technology that permits remote and simultaneous communication between patients and physicians through video calls for examination, medical assessment, and diagnosis [26]. In the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia (KSA), telemedicine is considered a key transformational factor for high-quality and patient-centric care [27]. The Ministry of Health has launched the SEHA Virtual Hospital (SVH), the world’s largest, as part of the country’s mission to transform the healthcare sector [28]. In addition, virtual clinic visits have been used as an alternative to the traditional clinic in many public and private hospitals in KSA [29–32]. The use of virtual clinics has accelerated due to the COVID-19 pandemic, as numerous healthcare systems turned to telemedicine to provide care as a mitigation strategy to control the spread of the disease [33,34]. However, despite its potential benefits in reducing the time, cost, and the amount of effort required to provide care for patients [35], telemedicine might pose some challenges. Previous research cited some of these relative to ensuring the comfort and privacy of the patients as well as the security of their information when using telecommunication technologies [36–41].

This study set out to assess the perceptions of the patients towards telemedicine in the KSA in terms of their privacy and security when discussing sensitive and personal information, diagnosis, treatment, and follow-ups. The study explored the effect of certain demographic factors and the physical health condition of the patients on their perceptions when using telemedicine. The results of this study could provide valuable insights for policymakers when designing and developing telemedicine applications. In addition, with the ever-increasing adoption of telemedicine around the world, this study could have significant implications for similar health systems in the Middle East and developing countries.

2. Subjects and Methods

2.1 Study design

This is a descriptive cross-sectional study. An online questionnaire was developed using Google Form and then distributed to the study population via WhatsApp and other social media, and emails, in March-April 2023. The questionnaire was adopted from previously validated research [42–45]. The questionnaire contained two sections with the first containing demographic questions about age, gender, education level as well as about the participants' physical health condition, and them having any chronic diseases. The second section contained eight statements aimed at measuring the participants' perceptions towards various aspects of telemedicine. The statements were scored based on a 4-point Likert scale starting from 'extremely uncomfortable' = 1 to 'extremely comfortable' = 4.

2.2 Study population and sample

The study population consisted of general population in the KSA aged 18 years or older. According to the report by the Ministry of Health (MOH), the number of telemedicine users in KSA was approximately 1.3 million [46]. The sample size was calculated using a margin of error of $\pm 5\%$, a confidence error of 95%, a 50% response distribution and a population size of 1.3 million to arrive at the minimum required sample size of at least 385 participants. However, a convenience sampling method was used to collect the study sample from the study population, of which 400 responded.

2.3 Data Analysis

The collected data were analyzed using SPSS version 28.0. Descriptive statistics such as measures of central tendency (mean and median) and measure of variability (standard deviation) were calculated for the data for quick summarization and to identify any patterns or trends. Then, a logistic regression analysis was applied to compare groups and make inferences about the population. A p-value < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

3. Results

As shown in Table 1, a total of 400 individuals completed the questionnaire with 43% being between 26 and 35 years old, 57.5% were females, and the majority (87.5%) were Saudis. More than half of the participants were employees (54.5%), with 60% reported having their own method of transportation. As many as 64.3% reported as being fully active without restrictions while only 29% stated that they had a chronic disease. Table 1 highlights the prevalence of different types of chronic diseases among participants, with diabetes being the most common among the highest age groups (41.4%). This was followed by 29.7% of participants with hypertension (HTN), 14.1% with asthma, 3.1% with heart disease, and 11.7% having other types of chronic diseases.

Table (1) Characteristics of the study sample

| Variable | N | Percentage |
|---|-----|------------|
| Age | | |
| 18 to 25 years | 89 | 22.25% |
| 26 to 35 years | 172 | 43% |
| 36 to 50 | 96 | 24% |
| More than 50 years | 43 | 10.75% |
| Sex | | |
| Male | 170 | 42.5% |
| Female | 230 | 57.5% |
| Nationality | | |
| Saudi | 348 | 87.0% |
| Non-Saudi | 52 | 13.0% |
| Occupation | | |
| Employee | 218 | 54.5% |
| Retired | 43 | 10.8% |
| Unemployed | 64 | 16.0% |
| Student | 75 | 18.8% |
| Level of education | | |
| High school | 120 | 30.0% |
| University | 213 | 53.3% |
| Postgraduate | 67 | 16.8% |
| Transportation do you usually use | | |
| I have my own transportation method | 243 | 60.8% |
| I hire a transportation method (Taxi, Uber) | 60 | 15.0% |
| I got driven by family/friend | 95 | 23.8% |
| Other | 2 | 0.5% |
| Best describes your physical status | | |
| My health makes it impossible for me to engage in most activities | 16 | 4.0% |
| My health makes it impossible for me to engage in some activities | 16 | 4.0% |
| My health makes it difficult for me to engage in some activities | 42 | 10.5% |
| I am able to go about my daily activities with minimal difficulty | 69 | 17.3% |
| Fully active without restrictions | 257 | 64.3% |
| Chronic disease | | |
| Yes | 116 | 29.0% |
| No | 284 | 71.0% |
| Type of chronic disease | | |
| Diabetes | 53 | 13.25% |
| HTN | 38 | 9.5% |
| Heart disease | 4 | 1% |
| Asthma | 18 | 4.5% |
| Other | 15 | 3.75% |

Table 2 details the mean score for the statements measuring the participants' perceptions towards various aspects of telemedicine. The participants were asked to indicate how comfortable they were when using telemedicine on a 4-point Likert scale starting from 'extremely uncomfortable' = 1 to 'extremely comfortable' = 4. The highest ranked statement was "Undergoing an initial clinic visit with a provider in the presence of my established physician" with a mean score of 3.66 (0.664), followed by "Completing post-operative follow-up" with a mean score of 3.5 (0.769). On the other hand, the lowest ranked statement was "Discussing sensitive and personal information" with a mean score of 2.69 (1.093), followed by

“Discussing new symptoms and concerns” with a mean score of 2.94 (0.998). The complete ranking of the statements regarding comfort with using telemedicine is found in Table 2.

Table (2) The mean scores for the statements measuring the participants’ perceptions towards various aspects of telemedicine.

| Statements | Extremely Uncomfortable | Somewhat uncomfortable | Somewhat comfortable | Extremely comfortable | Mean (SD) | Rank |
|---|-------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|--------------|------|
| 1. Discussing new symptoms and concerns | 46 (11.5%) | 73 (18.3%) | 139 (34.8%) | 142 (35.5%) | 2.94 (0.998) | 7 |
| 2. Discussing sensitive and personal information | 80 (20.0%) | 81 (20.3%) | 124 (31.0%) | 115 (28.8%) | 2.69 (1.093) | 8 |
| 3. Discussing diagnosis, treatment, and follow-up recommendations | 23 (5.8%) | 41 (10.3%) | 130 (32.5%) | 206 (51.5%) | 3.3 (0.872) | 4 |
| 4. Review imaging and laboratory tests | 25 (6.3%) | 46 (11.5%) | 123 (30.8%) | 206 (51.5%) | 3.28 (0.898) | 5 |
| 5. Undergoing an initial clinic visit with a new provider | 24 (6.0%) | 68 (17.0%) | 200 (50.0%) | 108 (27.0%) | 2.98 (0.825) | 6 |
| 6. Undergoing an initial clinic visit with a provider in the presence of my established physician | 7 (1.8%) | 22 (5.5%) | 72 (18%) | 299 (74.8%) | 3.66 (0.664) | 1 |
| 7. Completing post-operative follow-up | 13 (3.3%) | 29 (7.3%) | 102 (25.5%) | 256 (64.0%) | 3.5 (0.769) | 2 |
| 8. I am confident that communications using video calls are private and secure | 23 (5.8%) | 45 (11.3%) | 80 (20%) | 252 (63.0%) | 3.4 (0.901) | 3 |

As shown in Table 3, the average score for each participant was calculated and it was found that majority of respondents (64%) had a high score. The overall mean score for the statements measuring the participants’ perceptions towards various aspects of telemedicine was 3.22.

Table (3) statements overall mean score

| Statements mean score | (N) | (%) |
|-----------------------|-------------|--------|
| Low (1.00-2.00) | 17 | 4.25% |
| Moderate (2.01-3.00) | 127 | 31.75% |
| High (3.01-4.00) | 256 | 64% |
| Overall mean (SD) | 3.22 (0.58) | |

To understand the effect of the participants’ demographics and health status or condition on the perceptions of the study participants, a logistic regression was used. As shown in Table 4, it was observed that participants who reported having a better physical condition were more likely to be comfortable with using telemedicine. Specifically, compared to those whose health makes it impossible for them to engage in most activities, participants who were more likely to be comfortable with using telemedicine were those being fully active without restrictions (OR = 4.413, 95% CI = 1.415-13.765, P = 0.011). This difference could be seen when compared to the scores for who were able to perform daily activities with minimal difficulty (OR = 4.773, 95% CI = 1.239-18.385, P = 0.023), and those whose health made it difficult for them to engage in some activities (OR = 5.909, 95% CI = 1.217-28.689, P = 0.028). Contrariwise, variables such as age, gender,

nationality, occupation, level of education, transportation method, chronic disease, and type of chronic disease did not have a significant impact on the participants' likelihood to be comfortable with using telemedicine.

Table (4) Logistic regression results

| Factor | OR | 95% CI | P-value |
|---|-------|--------------|---------------|
| Age | | | |
| 18 to 25 years | 0.118 | 1.629 | 0.438 |
| 26 to 35 years | 0.217 | 2.844 | 0.785 |
| 36 to 50 years | 0.153 | 2.193 | 0.580 |
| More than 50 years | Ref | | |
| Sex | | | |
| Male | 1.545 | 0.787-3.033 | 0.207 |
| Female | Ref | | |
| Nationality | | | |
| Saudi | 1.545 | 0.787-3.033 | 0.207 |
| Non-Saudi | Ref | | |
| Occupation | | | |
| Employee | 1.531 | 0.704-3.33 | 0.282 |
| Retired | 2.292 | 0.602-8.72 | 0.224 |
| Unemployed | 1.661 | 0.578-4.778 | 0.346 |
| Student | Ref | | |
| Level of education | | | |
| High school | Ref | | |
| University | 0.918 | 0.439-1.918 | 0.820 |
| Postgraduate | 0.952 | 0.356-2.548 | 0.923 |
| Transportation do you usually use | | | |
| I have my own transportation method | 0.712 | 0.303-1.676 | 0.437 |
| I hire a transportation method (Taxi, Uber) | 1.047 | 0.468-2.344 | 0.911 |
| I got driven by family/friend | 0.110 | 0.007-1.809 | 0.122 |
| Other | Ref | | |
| Best describes your physical state status | | | |
| My health makes it impossible for me to engage in most activities | Ref | | |
| My health makes it impossible for me to engage in some activities | 1.364 | 0.29-6.415 | 0.695 |
| My health makes it difficult for me to engage in some activities | 5.909 | 1.217-28.689 | 0.028* |
| I am able to go about my daily activities with minimal difficulty | 4.773 | 1.239-18.385 | 0.023* |
| Fully active without restrictions | 4.413 | 1.415-13.765 | 0.011* |
| Chronic disease | | | |
| Yes | 1.024 | 0.505-2.076 | 0.948 |
| No | Ref | | |
| If yes, what type of chronic disease you have | | | |
| Diabetes | 0.686 | 0.074-6.365 | 0.740 |
| HTN | 0.833 | 0.08-8.707 | 0.879 |
| Heart disease | 0.214 | 0.01-4.477 | 0.321 |
| Asthma | 0.357 | 0.033-3.849 | 0.396 |
| Other | Ref | | |

4. Discussion

Telemedicine is largely considered a key enabler for transforming the delivery of healthcare services in KSA to make them become more patient-centric and of a higher quality. Despite its well-documented benefits in improving the health care experience for patients [35,47], telemedicine might pose some challenges. Previous research suggested issues related to comfort and privacy as well as the security of patient information when using telecommunication technologies [36–39]. This study aimed to estimate the population's perceptions regarding receiving care through virtual clinics in KSA and to determine the impact of demographic variables and health condition on the same. It was discovered that most participants were comfortable receiving care through the telemedicine services with the patients being mostly at ease with it in terms of undergoing their initial clinic visit with a provider in the presence of their established physician as well as completing post-operative follow-ups. This finding is consistent with other studies that reported that virtual clinic visits were well-accepted by patients [47–49]. These findings have implications for enhancing the health system in KSA in terms of increased accessibility especially for those living in remote areas and for improving convenience for the users as it eliminates their waiting time. Additionally, virtual post-operative visits can reduce health care cost for patients and also for the provider [50]. An added benefit is that telemedicine can play a crucial role in improving patient outcomes and reducing infection risks [51]. Therefore, further research is needed to fully assess the long-term impact of integrating virtual clinics into postoperative care in KSA.

The success of telemedicine could be challenged if significant privacy and security issues are not addressed [40]. This current study found that most participants trusted the technology in terms of the security and privacy of patients' information when receiving care through virtual visits. A study done in the eastern region of KSA also stated that the participants' trust in telemedicine was a key factor in their intention to use these services [52]. However, this present study discovered that the participants were less comfortable receiving care through virtual visits relative to discussing new symptoms and sensitive personal information. This is similar to previous research that reported that patients not being able to express their emotions through telemedicine was a barrier to using the service [53,54]. Further, an earlier study observed that people were concerned with virtual visits in terms of privacy, that is, with the possibility of co-workers overhearing their conversation with the treating physician [41]. Relative to the demographic factors, prior studies indicated that age, sex, and education actually affected the patients' level of comfort when using telemedicine [49,55,56]. However, in this current study, these and other demographic variables were found to have no impact on how comfortable people were receiving care through virtual clinics. In terms of having a chronic disease, contrary to an earlier study that found that

participants with chronic conditions were more likely to use telemedicine [57], this current study found no relationship between the likelihood of being comfortable with telemedicine and having a chronic disease. This result might be due to the small number of chronic patients in this the study sample which suggests that further studies need to focus on assessing chronic patients' uses of virtual clinics. Nevertheless, it was noticed that participants in a better physical condition were more likely to be comfortable receiving care through virtual visits than those in a poor physical state. This is consistent with another study which showed that patients with difficult health state were less motivated to use telemedicine [58].

This study had some limitations with the first being the sample size which though seen as suitable for this type of study, might still be regarded as small given the diversity of KSA's population. A bigger sample size would have produced more substantial and representative findings. Second, the convenience sampling approach used could have introduced bias due to the overrepresentation of those who were more accessible or ready to participate. This could also reduce the potential of the findings for application to a larger population. Third, the lack of information on the respondent's region (rural or urban) which might be an important predictor for using telemedicine. Finally, the study mainly focused on how telemedicine services were seen and accepted in KSA without offering a comparison analysis with conventional healthcare services or other methods of healthcare delivery. This might have limited the arrival at a better understanding of the advantages and disadvantages of telemedicine in the country.

5. Conclusion

This study provided evidence that the majority of citizens had positive perceptions regarding using telemedicine in KSA and that a person's physical health status appeared to influence their comfort using telemedicine as participants with better physical state were more likely to be comfortable using virtual clinics. On the other hand, demographic variables like age, sex, and education, among others, did not seem to influence their level of comfort when using telemedicine. For a better understanding of the population's perceptions of telemedicine services in KSA, future research is recommended using larger samples to explore the barriers to and the motivators for using telemedicine.

6. Declarations

6.1 Conflict of Interest Statement

The authors have no conflict of interests to declare.

6.2 Funding Disclosure

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

6.3 Authors' contributions:

Abeer Alharbi contributed to the research conceptualization, methodology, formal analysis, and writing – review & editing. Dalia Alomair contributed to the research conceptualization, methodology, formal analysis.

6.4 Ethical Considerations

Ethical approval was obtained from the Research Ethics Subcommittee No. KSU-HE-23-360 from King Saud University. The data were kept confidential, and participants were assured they would be used only for research purposes. The individuals were duly informed about them being willing participants in the study, and that at any stage and for any reason, they were free to leave it, if they so desired.

6.5 Acknowledgements

The authors extend their appreciation to the Deanship of Scientific Research at King Saud University and the Research Center at the College of Business Administration for supporting this work.

6.6 Availability of data and materials:

Available upon request.

7. References

1. Alaiad A, Alsharo M, Alnsour Y. The Determinants of M-Health Adoption in Developing Countries: An Empirical Investigation. *Appl Clin Inform*. 2019 Oct;10(5):820–40.
2. Eze E, Gleasure R, Heavin C. Mobile health solutions in developing countries: a stakeholder perspective. *Health Syst*. 2020 Jul 2;9(3):179–201.
3. Zakerabasali S, Ayyoubzadeh SM, Baniyasi T, Yazdani A, Abhari S. Mobile Health Technology and Healthcare Providers: Systemic Barriers to Adoption. *Healthc Inform Res*. 2021 Oct;27(4):267–78.
4. Nguyen M, Waller M, Pandya A, Portnoy J. A Review of Patient and Provider Satisfaction with Telemedicine. *Curr Allergy Asthma Rep*. 2020 Sep 22;20(11):72.
5. Bokolo AJ. Exploring the adoption of telemedicine and virtual software for care of outpatients during and after COVID-19 pandemic. *Ir J Med Sci*. 2021 Feb;190(1):1–10.
6. Casà C, Corvari B, Cellini F, Cornacchione P, D'Aviero A, Reina S, et al. KIT 1 (Keep in Touch) Project—Televisits for Cancer Patients during Italian Lockdown for COVID-19 Pandemic: The Real-World Experience of Establishing a Telemedicine System. *Healthcare*. 2023 Jan;11(13):1950.
7. Andronic O, Petrescu GED, Artamonov AR, Bolocan A, Rădăvoi D, Bran M, et al. Healthcare Professionals' Specialists' Perception of Telemedicine in Romania—A Quantitative Study of Beliefs, Practices, and Expectations. *Healthcare*. 2023 Jan;11(11):1552.
8. Alajwari HA, Alfayez A, Alsalman D, Alanezi F, Alhodaib H, Al-Rayes S, et al. Knowledge and attitude of Saudi Arabian citizens towards telemedicine during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Int Health*. 2022 Nov 1;14(6):604–9.
9. Al-Anezi FM. Factors influencing decision making for implementing e-health in light of the COVID-19 outbreak in Gulf Cooperation Council countries. *Int Health*. 2022 Jan 19;14(1):53–63.
10. Alharbi A. Knowledge, attitude and practice toward the mHealth app Mawid: a cross-sectional study. *Int Health*. 2023 May 2;15(3):342–50.
11. Almufarrij A, Alharbi A. Perceptions of Using Mobile Health Apps (mHealth) During Covid-19 Pandemic In Saudi Arabia: A Cross-Sectional Study. *J Health Inform Dev Ctries [Internet]*. 2022 Mar 27 [cited 2023 Jul 18];16(1). Available from: <https://www.jhidc.org/index.php/jhidc/article/view/352>
12. Bhatia R. Telehealth and COVID-19: Using technology to accelerate the curve on access and quality healthcare for citizens in India. *Technol Soc*. 2021 Feb;64:101465.
13. Myers CR. Using Telehealth to Remediate Rural Mental Health and Healthcare Disparities. *Issues Ment Health Nurs*. 2019 Mar;40(3):233–9.
14. Tsou C, Robinson S, Boyd J, Jamieson A, Blakeman R, Yeung J, et al. Effectiveness of Telehealth in Rural and Remote Emergency Departments: Systematic Review. *J Med Internet Res*. 2021 Nov 26;23(11):e30632.
15. Butzner M, Cuffee Y. Telehealth Interventions and Outcomes Across Rural Communities in the United States: Narrative Review. *J Med Internet Res*. 2021 Aug 26;23(8):e29575.

16. World Health Organization (WHO). Telemedicine: opportunities and developments in member states. Report on the second global survey on eHealth. *Telemed Oppor Dev Memb States Rep Second Glob Surv EHealth* [Internet]. 2010 [cited 2023 Jul 2]; Available from: <https://www.cabdirect.org/cabdirect/abstract/20133159246>
17. Cowie J, Calveley E, Bowers G, Bowers J. Evaluation of a Digital Consultation and Self-Care Advice Tool in Primary Care: A Multi-Methods Study. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2018 May;15(5):896.
18. Polinski JM, Barker T, Gagliano N, Sussman A, Brennan TA, Shrank WH. Patients' Satisfaction with and Preference for Telehealth Visits. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2016 Mar;31(3):269–75.
19. Soriano Marcolino M, Minelli Figueira R, Pereira Afonso Dos Santos J, Silva Cardoso C, Luiz Ribeiro A, Alkmim MB. The Experience of a Sustainable Large Scale Brazilian Telehealth Network. *Telemed J E-Health Off J Am Telemed Assoc*. 2016;22(11):899–908.
20. Powell RE, Henstenburg JM, Cooper G, Hollander JE, Rising KL. Patient Perceptions of Telehealth Primary Care Video Visits. *Ann Fam Med*. 2017 May;15(3):225–9.
21. Donaghy E, Atherton H, Hammersley V, McNeilly H, Bikker A, Robbins L, et al. Acceptability, benefits, and challenges of video consulting: a qualitative study in primary care. *Br J Gen Pract*. 2019 Sep 1;69(686):e586–94.
22. Mosa ASM, Yoo I, Sheets L. A Systematic Review of Healthcare Applications for Smartphones. *BMC Med Inform Decis Mak*. 2012 Jul 10;12(1):67.
23. Parmanto B, Pramana G, Yu DX, Fairman AD, Dicianno BE. Development of mHealth system for supporting self-management and remote consultation of skincare. *BMC Med Inform Decis Mak*. 2015 Dec 30;15(1):114.
24. Indria D, Alajlani M, Fraser HSF. Clinicians perceptions of a telemedicine system: a mixed method study of Makassar City, Indonesia. *BMC Med Inform Decis Mak*. 2020 Sep 17;20(1):233.
25. Uscher-Pines L, Mehrotra A. Analysis Of Teladoc Use Seems To Indicate Expanded Access To Care For Patients Without Prior Connection To A Provider. *Health Aff (Millwood)*. 2014 Feb 1;33(2):258–64.
26. Wong A, Bhyat R, Srivastava S, Boissé Lomax L, Appireddy R. Patient Care During the COVID-19 Pandemic: Use of Virtual Care. *J Med Internet Res*. 2021 Jan 21;23(1):e20621.
27. Health Sector Transformation Program [Internet]. Vision 2030. [cited 2023 Jul 2]. Available from: <https://www.vision2030.gov.sa/v2030/vrps/hstp/>
28. Ministry Of Health Saudi Arabia. Seha-Virtual-Hospital [Internet]. Ministry Of Health Saudi Arabia. [cited 2023 Jul 3]. Available from: <https://www.moh.gov.sa/en/Pages/Default.aspx>
29. Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia. Virtual Clinics [Internet]. Ministry Of Health Saudi Arabia. [cited 2023 Jul 2]. Available from: <https://www.moh.gov.sa/en/Pages/Default.aspx>
30. Alamri AF, Alomari FK, Danash AM, Aljouidi MT, Almasharqa AI, Almaslout AM, et al. Perception of Healthcare Providers during the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Mixed Method Survey in an Integrated Healthcare Delivery System in Saudi Arabia. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2022 Dec 12;19(24):16676.
31. AlBar AM, Hoque MR. Patient Acceptance of e-Health Services in Saudi Arabia: An Integrative Perspective. *Telemed E-Health*. 2019 Sep;25(9):847–52.
32. Aldekhyyel RN, Almulhem JA, Binkheder S. Usability of Telemedicine Mobile Applications during COVID-19 in Saudi Arabia: A Heuristic Evaluation of Patient User Interfaces. *Healthcare*. 2021 Nov 18;9(11):1574.

33. Chang JE, Lai AY, Gupta A, Nguyen AM, Berry CA, Shelley DR. Rapid Transition to Telehealth and the Digital Divide: Implications for Primary Care Access and Equity in a Post-COVID Era. *Milbank Q.* 2021 Jun;99(2):340–68.
34. Dhaliwal JK, Hall TD, LaRue JL, Maynard SE, Pierre PE, Bransby KA. Expansion of telehealth in primary care during the COVID-19 pandemic: benefits and barriers. *J Am Assoc Nurse Pract.* 2021 Jun 7;34(2):224–9.
35. Otaibi MNA. Internet of Things (IoT) Saudi Arabia Healthcare Systems: State-Of-The-Art, Future Opportunities and Open Challenges. *J Health Inform Dev Ctries [Internet].* 2019 Jan 27 [cited 2023 Jul 6];13(1). Available from: <https://www.jhidc.org/index.php/jhidc/article/view/234>
36. Houser SH, Flite CA, Foster SL. Privacy and Security Risk Factors Related to Telehealth Services - A Systematic Review. *Perspect Health Inf Manag.* 2023;20(1):1f.
37. Pool J, Akhlaghpour S, Fatehi F, Gray LC. Data privacy concerns and use of telehealth in the aged care context: An integrative review and research agenda. *Int J Med Inf.* 2022 Apr;160:104707.
38. Hammersley V, Donaghy E, Parker R, McNeilly H, Atherton H, Bikker A, et al. Comparing the content and quality of video, telephone, and face-to-face consultations: a non-randomised, quasi-experimental, exploratory study in UK primary care. *Br J Gen Pract J R Coll Gen Pract.* 2019 Sep;69(686):e595–604.
39. Banks J, Farr M, Salisbury C, Bernard E, Northstone K, Edwards H, et al. Use of an electronic consultation system in primary care: a qualitative interview study. *Br J Gen Pract J R Coll Gen Pract.* 2018 Jan;68(666):e1–8.
40. Hall JL, McGraw D. For telehealth to succeed, privacy and security risks must be identified and addressed. *Health Aff Proj Hope.* 2014 Feb;33(2):216–21.
41. Powell RE, Henstenburg JM, Cooper G, Hollander JE, Rising KL. Patient Perceptions of Telehealth Primary Care Video Visits. *Ann Fam Med.* 2017 May;15(3):225–9.
42. AlMatar R, Al-Haqan A, Abdullah I, Waheedi S. Population perceptions of health care services provided virtually (telehealth): a cross-sectional study. *J Public Health Oxf Engl.* 2023 Jun 14;45(2):505–12.
43. Viers BR, Pruthi S, Rivera ME, O’Neil DA, Gardner MR, Jenkins SM, et al. Are Patients Willing to Engage in Telemedicine for Their Care: A Survey of Preuse Perceptions and Acceptance of Remote Video Visits in a Urological Patient Population. *Urology.* 2015 Jun;85(6):1233–9.
44. Greene J, Hibbard JH. Why does patient activation matter? An examination of the relationships between patient activation and health-related outcomes. *J Gen Intern Med.* 2012 May;27(5):520–6.
45. Gardner MR, Jenkins SM, O’Neil DA, Wood DL, Spurrier BR, Pruthi S. Perceptions of Video-Based Appointments from the Patient’s Home: A Patient Survey. *Telemed E-Health.* 2015 Apr;21(4):281–5.
46. Ministry of Health Saudi Arabia. Statistical Yearbook [Internet]. MOH Statistics and Indicators. [cited 2023 Jul 14]. Available from: <https://www.moh.gov.sa/en/Pages/Default.aspx>
47. Alharbi A, Alzuwaed J, Qasem H. Evaluation of e-health (Seha) application: a cross-sectional study in Saudi Arabia. *BMC Med Inform Decis Mak.* 2021 Mar 18;21(1):103.
48. Almathami HKY, Win KT, Vlahu-Gjorgievska E. Barriers and Facilitators That Influence Telemedicine-Based, Real-Time, Online Consultation at Patients’ Homes: Systematic Literature Review. *J Med Internet Res.* 2020 Feb 20;22(2):e16407.

49. Polinski JM, Barker T, Gagliano N, Sussman A, Brennan TA, Shrank WH. Patients' Satisfaction with and Preference for Telehealth Visits. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2016 Mar;31(3):269–75.
50. Snoswell CL, Taylor ML, Comans TA, Smith AC, Gray LC, Caffery LJ. Determining if Telehealth Can Reduce Health System Costs: Scoping Review. *J Med Internet Res*. 2020 Oct 19;22(10):e17298.
51. Monaghesh E, Hajizadeh A. The role of telehealth during COVID-19 outbreak: a systematic review based on current evidence. *BMC Public Health*. 2020 Aug 1;20(1):1193.
52. Althumairi A, Hariri B, Aljabri D, Aljaffary A. Patient Acceptance and Intention to Use e-Consultations During the COVID-19 Pandemic in the Eastern Province of Saudi Arabia. *Front Public Health*. 2022;10:896546.
53. Ehlers DK, Huberty JL, de Vreede GJ. Can an evidence-based book club intervention delivered via a tablet computer improve physical activity in middle-aged women? *Telemed J E-Health Off J Am Telemed Assoc*. 2015 Feb;21(2):125–31.
54. Eslami Jahromi M, Ahmadian L. Evaluating satisfaction of patients with stutter regarding the tele-speech therapy method and infrastructure. *Int J Med Inf*. 2018 Jul;115:128–33.
55. Mann DM, Chen J, Chunara R, Testa PA, Nov O. COVID-19 transforms health care through telemedicine: Evidence from the field. *J Am Med Inform Assoc JAMIA*. 2020 Jul 1;27(7):1132–5.
56. Ramirez AV, Ojeaga M, Espinoza V, Hensler B, Honrubia V. Telemedicine in Minority and Socioeconomically Disadvantaged Communities Amidst COVID-19 Pandemic. *Otolaryngol--Head Neck Surg Off J Am Acad Otolaryngol-Head Neck Surg*. 2021 Jan;164(1):91–2.
57. Reicher S, Sela T, Toren O. Using Telemedicine During the COVID-19 Pandemic: Attitudes of Adult Health Care Consumers in Israel. *Front Public Health [Internet]*. 2021 [cited 2023 Jul 6];9. Available from: <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpubh.2021.653553>
58. Peel NM, Russell TG, Gray LC. Feasibility of using an in-home video conferencing system in geriatric rehabilitation. *J Rehabil Med*. 2011 Mar;43(4):364–6.